

9405 SW Gemini Drive, Beaverton, OR 97008 USA T. +1 503.469.4800 F. +1 503.469.4777 www.digimarc.com

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER OCT 2 2 2004

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

DATE: October 22, 2004	RE: U.S. Putent Application No. 09/697,780
ro: Mail Stop Amendment	FILED: October 26, 2000
FAX: 703-872-9306	FOR: METHOL) AND SYSTEM FOR INTERNET ACCESS
FROM: William Y. Conwell	ARTUNIT 2151
PAGES: 2 (including this page)	DOCKET NO.: 60320
✓ Urgent □ For Review	□ Plcase Reply
(
FACS	IMILE COVER LETTER
Attached is a Response for the above	e application. No fee is believed due, however please charge any
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	with filing this Response and any extension of time, or credit any
overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-3	3284.
. <u>C</u>	ERTIFICATE OF FAXING
I hereby certify that these papers are being	facsamile, transmitted to the OS Patent Office, 703-872-9306 on
October 22, 2004.	
; 	Milling
William	m Y. Conwell/Reg. No. 31,943 Attorney for Applicant
·	

PATENT

WY C:1mp 10/22/04 60320

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Davis et al.

Application No.: 09/697,780

Filed: October 26, 2000

For:

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR INTERNET

ACCESS

Examiner: F. Jean

Date: October 22, 2004

Art Unit 2151

Confirmation No. 5578

CERTIFICATE OF FAXING

I hereby certify that theses papers are being facsimile transmitted toghe US Patent Office, 1703-872-9306 on

October 22.

William Y. Conwells Reg. No. 31,943

Attorney for Applicant

RESPONSE

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

OCT 2 2 2004

Mail Stop AMENDMENT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir,

Responsive to the Restriction Requirement mailed October 1, 2004, applicants respond as follows.

Applicants elect to pursue the claims of group I, with traverse.

It is not clear that the Office has met its prima facie burden of showing that the respective sets of claims are both independent and distinct. For example, it appears that claims 19-23 (Croup II) could properly be classified as "drawn to usage and charge determination" (Group I).

Likewise, the subject matter of claims 24-29 and 30-31 appear to be closely related.

Moreover, even if the claims are independent and distinct, it is not believed that maintaining all claims in a single application would be unduly burdensome on the Office. Accordingly, withdrawal of the restriction requirement is solicited.

If the restriction requirement is made final, applicants authorize the Examiner to cancel the non-elected claims by Examiner's Amendment.

Date: October 22, 2004

CUSTOMER NUMBER 23735

Phone: 503-469-4800

FAX 503-469-4777

Respectfully submitted,

DIGIMARC: CORPORATION

Ву_ William Y. Conwell

Registration No. 31,943